

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/909,624	07/19/2001	Sheng Li	03442P012	9984
75	90 02/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas C. We		ABRAHAM, ESAW T		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2133	3
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,624	LI, SHENG				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Esaw T Abraham	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover she t with the	e correspondence addr ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re 1 if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frotte, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	January 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to a subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to a su	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	e Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u>. 		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 to 24 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner has been considered the references listed in the information disclosure statement submitted on 09/04/01 (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) Please add the phrase "the steps of " next or after --- A method comprising: --- Appropriate correction is required.
 - b) Please change the word "capable of" to "configured for" on line 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2133

4. Claims 1 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson (U.S. PN: 6,609,224) in view of Kato (U.S. PN: 5,844,918).

As per claims 1, 9 and 17, Jonsson substantially teach or disclose in figure 1 disclose a typical packet (10) conforming to the IP-based transport layer protocols, such as UDP (User Data gram Protocol) and RTP (Real-time Transport Protocol) whereby the packet is made of a header section (12) (including source port, destination port, length and checksum) and a payload section (14) (see col. 1, lines 24-47). Further, Jonnson teach that checksums are used by the UDP and RTP transport layer protocols to detect errors in a single data packet and such transport layer checksums are calculated to provide coverage for the entire data packet (e.g., header and payload), included in the transport layer header as one of the header fields (see in fig. 1 element 16) and calculation of the checksum is performed by adding together all the octets of data in the packet to be transmitted (see col. 2, lines 48-60). Furthermore, Jonnson teach that the checksum field occupies two octets in most cases and is used to verify the correctness of the transport layer packet and IP version 4 (IPv4) provides an option to disable the checksum (see col. 3, lines 24-30). Jonsson does not explicitly teach calculating data integrity (checksum function) for data segments to be transmitted within the data packet. However, Kato in figure 5 teach a segmentation circuit (14) divides a transmission data into a fixed length (see figure 5b), an error detecting code addition circuit (16) added an error detecting code (CRC) to the thus-divided data segments (see figure 5c), the header addition circuit (20) further appends a packet header to each data segment complete with the CRC code, whereby a transmission data packet is generated (see figure 5d and col. 5, lines 28-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine (incorporate) the

Art Unit: 2133

teachings of Jonsson with the method of adding error correction codes (checksum or CRC codes) into independent segments as taught by Kato to provide a service option in which errors are detected separately. **This modification** would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because it would provide in achieving a reduction in power and resource consumption.

As per claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 18 and 19, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17 including Jonnson teach that checksums are used by the UDP and RTP transport layer protocols to detect errors in a single data packet and such transport layer checksums are calculated to provide coverage for the entire data packet (e.g., header and payload), included in the transport layer header as one of the header fields (see in fig. 1 element 16) and calculation of the checksum is performed by adding together all the octets of data in the packet to be transmitted (see col. 2, lines 48-60).

As per claims 4, 12 and 20, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17, including Jonsson teach that a speech data is presently transported over the Internet using IP-based transport layer protocols such as the (UDP) and (RTP) and wherein a software converts speech into digital data which is then assembled into data packets suitable for transport over the Internet using the IP-based transport layer protocols (see col. 1, lines 24-32).

As per claims 5, 13, and 21, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1 and 17 including Kato teach the digital transmission method defined as basic data is a video (audio) signal, and the basic data is transmitted in accordance with a TDMA/TDD method in the transmission step (see claim 4).

Art Unit: 2133

As per claims 6, 14 and 22, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17. Jonsson in view of Kato do not explicitly teach setting a checksum packet to zero. However, Jonsson teach that one of the checksum field occupies two octets in most cases and is used to verify the correctness of the transport layer packet and IP version 4 (IPv4) provides an option to disable the checksum (see col. 3, lines 24-30) which the system of Jonnson basically teach the option of disabling the checksum or setting the function of checksum to zero. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disable or set a checksum to zero in order to maximize the option of error detection process. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated in order to facilitate utilization of flexible and efficient error detecting/correcting operations.

As per claims 7, 8, 23 and 24, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17 including Jonsson teach a transport layer checksums are calculated to provide coverage for the entire data packet (e.g., header and payload) included in the transport layer header as one of the header fields (see figure 1, element 16) and the calculation of the checksum is performed by adding together all the octets of data in the packet to be transmitted which is also a similar process is repeated (recalculated) at the receiver side, and the two sums are then compared for a match, which means the data have been received correctly (see col. 2, lines 36-67). Further, Kato in figure 1 teach that the transmit/receive circuit (128) receives the data, an error correcting circuit (130) carries out an error correcting operation (see figure 3, step S13), and an error detecting circuit (132) carries out an error detecting operation using the CRC code (see fig. 3, step S12 and col. 2, lines 27-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/909,624

Art Unit: 2133

Page 6

As per claims 15 and 16, Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17 including Jonsson substantially teach or disclose in figure 1 disclose a typical packet (10) conforming to the IP-based transport layer protocols, such as UDP (User Data gram Protocol) and RTP (Real-time Transport Protocol) whereby the packet is made of a header section (12) (including source port, destination port, length and checksum) and a payload section (14) (see col. 1, lines 24-47). Jonsson in view of Kato teach all the subject matter claimed in claims 1, 9 and 17. Jonsson in view of Kato do not explicitly teach setting a checksum packet to zero. However, Jonsson teach that one of the checksum field occupies two octets in most cases and is used to verify the correctness of the transport layer packet and IP version 4 (IPv4) provides an option to disable the checksum (see col. 3, lines 24-30) which the system of Jonnson basically teach the option of disabling the checksum or setting the function of checksum to zero. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disable or set a checksum to zero to maximize the option of error detection process. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated in order to facilitate utilization of flexible and efficient error detecting/correcting operations.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US PN: 6,279,140 Slane

US PN: 5,701,316 Alferness et al.

US PN: 6,324,670 Henriksen

Application/Control Number: 09/909,624 Page 7

Art Unit: 2133

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Esaw Abraham whose telephone number is (703) 305-7743. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are successful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Esaw Abraham

Art unit: 2133

Albert DeCady Primary Exami

ejny J. Lamarre